

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/355,149	03/07/2000	ULF ASSMUS	2345/87	6071
26646 KENYON & F	7590 12/01/200 KENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD	WAY	LEE, MICHAEL		
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	rie:				

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/355,149	ASSMUS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
M. Lee	2622	

	M. Lee	2622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicheve no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FIL MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date wave been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 11/20/08. A brief in cor	npliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two mor	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS		, ,						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b 	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause					
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9, 18.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and 								
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
Lien does show the data independent clock signal and the	ne memory device, and stores the r	eceived data for the r	equired period					
time in order to compensate for transmission delays as of								
incoming data stream because it is not derived from the								
the FIFO. The filling level is derived from the "write strop								

Len does show the data independent clock signal and the memory device, and stores the received data for the required period of time in order to compensate for transmission delays as claimed. For instance, the clock signal 35 in List independent of the incoming data stream because it is not derived from the data stream itself despite the fact that it is depended on the filling level of the FIFO. The filling level is derived from the "write storbe" and "read strope" signals. These signals are part of the data streams. Thus, broadly interpreted, the clock signal 35 is independent of the data stream. Finally, the FIFO memory is for compensating transmission delays as described in col. 4, line 54, to col. 5, line 3. Clearly, Lien anticipates the broadly claimed invention.

12. In Vote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

/M. Lee/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081124